

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

WENDY PAPE,
Plaintiffs

vs.

CLIENT SERVICES, INC.
Defendant

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. _____

COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

COMES NOW Wendy Pape, hereinafter referred to as Plaintiff complaining against Client Services, Inc. hereinafter referred to as Defendant and for cause of action would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND JURISDICTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual Plaintiff for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA"), the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act, Chapter 392 (hereinafter "TDCPA") and the Texas Business and Commerce Code, Subchapter E, Chapter 17, (hereinafter "DTPA"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices. Plaintiff seeks to recover monetary damages for Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, the TDCPA and the DTPA and to have an Order or injunction issued by this Court preventing

Defendant from continuing its behavior in violation of the FDCPA. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and venue is proper before this Court as Plaintiff and/or Defendant reside in this District and/or the complained of actions occurred in this District. Service may be made upon Defendant in any other district in which it may be found pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2).

2. Plaintiff, Wendy Pape, is a natural person and a “consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3), the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act §17.45(4) and Texas Finance Code §392.001(1).

3. Defendant, Client Services, Inc., is a domestic corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts by use of the mails and telephone, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) trying to collect a “debt” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(5) and Texas Finance Code §392.001(2).

FIRST COUNT

FDCPA VIOLATIONS

4. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt. Defendant’s conduct violated the FDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:

- a) Failing to disclose Defendants true corporate or business name in a telephone call to Plaintiff (§ 1692d(6));
- b) Using false representations and deceptive practices in connection with collection of an alleged debt from Plaintiff, including stating that non-payment of debt is a criminal felony offense (§ 1692e(10));
- c) Causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff (§ 1692d(5));
- d) In connection with collection of a debt, using language the natural consequence of which was to abuse Plaintiff, including screaming at Plaintiff and making outlandish threats designed to instill fear (§ 1692d(2));
- e) Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of Plaintiffs debt, including stating that Plaintiff owes a debt she does not owe (§ 1692e(2)(A); and
- f) Overshadowing the disclosures required by 15 USC § 1692g(a) during the thirty-day dispute period, including threatening to take legal actions against Plaintiff within the first 30 days after the initial communication with Plaintiff, thereby overshadowing Defendants required notification to Plaintiff regarding the 30 day dispute period (§ 1692g(b)) and
- g) Where Defendant had not yet made an attempt to contact Plaintiffs counsel or had not given Plaintiffs counsel sufficient time to respond to the initial

attempt to communicate with Plaintiffs counsel, and where Plaintiffs counsel had not given Defendant permission to contact Plaintiff directly, communicating with Plaintiff directly after learning that Plaintiff is being represented by counsel (§ 1692c(a)(2)).

5. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

6. Defendant's aforementioned statutory violations also constitute an invasion of Plaintiff's right to privacy, causing additional injury to Plaintiff's feelings, mental anguish and distress.

7. As a result of the above statutory violations and invasion of privacy, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, exemplary damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

SECOND COUNT
VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

8. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.

9. Defendant violated the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act in one or more of the following ways:

- a) Using a name other than the true business or professional name of the debt collector in violation of Tex Fin Code 392.304(a)(1)(A));
- b) Causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring continuously with the intent to harass Plaintiff in violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.302(4);
- c) Misrepresenting the character or status of a debt including stating that Plaintiff owes a debt she does not owe in violation of Tex. Fin. Code §392.304(a)(8);
- d) Using a false representation or deceptive means to collect a debt including stating that non-payment of debt is a criminal felony offense in violation of Tex. Fin. Code §392.304(a)(19)); and
- e) Was otherwise deceptive and failed to comply with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

THIRD COUNT
VIOLATION OF THE TEXAS DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT

10. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.

11. This suit is brought, in part, under the authority of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Deceptive Trade Practices and Plaintiffs Protection Act and cited in this petition as the "DTPA".

12. Defendant was given notice in writing of the claims made in this Petition more than sixty days before this suit was filed in the manner and form required by DTPA §17.505 (a).

13. Defendant made numerous material misrepresentations in an attempt to collect the purported debt as detailed above.

14. Defendant knew or should have known that its representations were false and/or acted in reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of its representations.

15. Defendant's actions were done maliciously and in willful, wanton and reckless disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff.

16. Defendant's actions as detailed above constitute a violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41.

17. The conduct described above has been and is a producing and proximate cause of damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's damages include: Actual/economic damages, emotional and/or mental anguish damages, exemplary/punitive damages, and Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs.

18. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.

19. Plaintiff seeks reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in this case through the time judgment is entered and for any post-trial or post-judgment appeals, to be detailed through a statement of services submitted at the appropriate time.

FOURTH COUNT
COMMON LAW INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION

20. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.

21. Defendant's aforementioned violations of the FDCPA also constitute an intentional intrusion into Plaintiff's private places and into private matters of Plaintiff's life, conducted in a manner highly offensive to a reasonable person. Plaintiff had a subjective expectation of privacy in the context of Defendant's actions that was objectively reasonable under the circumstances.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests that Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein and upon final hearing hereof, Plaintiff have judgment of and against Defendant as follows:

- (a) a declaratory judgment be entered that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- (b) an award of actual damages;
- (c) an award of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- (d) an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and,

- (e) a declaratory judgment be entered that Defendant's conduct violated the Texas deceptive Trade Practices Act;
- (f) an award for all actual damages, exemplary damages, emotional/mental anguish damages, all attorneys fees, costs of court, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rates.
- (g) seeks an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.
- (h) such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Susan Landgraf
Susan Landgraf
Texas State Bar # 00784702
Attorney in Charge for Plaintiffs

WEISBERG & MEYERS, L.L.C.
4510 Bull Creek Road
Austin, TX 78731
Phone: (512) 436-0036 ext. 116
Facsimile: (866) 317-2674

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 29, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, using the electronic case filing system of the court.

/s/ Susan A. Landgraf
SUSAN A. LANDGRAF